November 12, 2009

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY Civil Action No. 08-1291

RECEIVED

DEC - 9 2009

JOEL SCHNEIDER U.S. Magistrate Judge

In Regard to the Matter of:

Bayside State Prison OPINION/REPORT Litigation

OF THE SPECIAL MASTER

EDWIN RICHARDSON

-vs-

WILLIAM H. FAUVER, et al, Defendants.

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2009

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN W. BISSELL, SPECIAL MASTER

```
Page 2
 1
 2
 3
 4
 5
                     Transcript of proceedings in the above
 6
     matter taken by Theresa O. Mastroianni, Certified
 7
     Court Reporter, license number 30X100085700, and
     Notary Public of the State of New Jersey at the
 8
 9
     United States District Court House, One Gerry Plaza,
10
     Camden, New Jersey, 08102, commencing at 3:44 PM.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
                MASTROIANNI & FORMAROLI, INC.
20
        Certified Court Reporting & Videoconferencing
21
                    251 South White Horse Pike
22
                    Audubon, New Jersey 08106
23
                           856-546-1100
24
25
```

November 12, 2009

```
Page 3
  1
  2
     APPEARANCES:
  3
 4
            LOUGHRY & LINDSAY, ESQUIRES
 5
            BY: JUSTIN T. LOUGHRY, ESQUIRE
            330 MARKET STREET
 6
            CAMDEN, NEW JERSEY 08102
            856-968-9201
 7
            ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFFS
 8
 9
           ROSELLI, GRIEGEL, LOZIER & LAZZARO, PC
10
           BY:
                MARK ROSELLI, ESQUIRE
                 - and -
11
           BY:
                 JAMES LAZZARO, ESQUIRE
                 - and -
12
                 STEVEN GRIEGEL, ESQUIRE
           BY:
           1337 STATE HIGHWAY 33
13
           HAMILTON SQUARE, NEW JERSEY 08690
           609-586-2257
14
           ATTORNEYS FOR THE DEFENDANTS
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- JUDGE BISSELL: I am now reopening
- 2 proceedings in the case of Edwin Richardson, docket
- 3 number 08-1291.
- 4 This opinion/report is being issued
- 5 pursuant to the directives of the Order of Reference
- 6 to a Special Master and the Special Master's
- 7 Agreement and the guiding principles of law which
- 8 underlie this decision to be applied to the facts
- 9 upon which it is based as set forth in the jury
- 10 instructions in the Walker and Mejias jury charges to
- 11 the extent applicable to the allegations of Mr.
- 12 Richardson.
- 13 As finalized after review under Local
- 14 Civil Rule 52.1, this transcript will constitute the
- 15 written report required under paragraph seven of the
- 16 Order of Reference to a Special Master.
- 17 Mr. Richardson was housed in Trailer
- 18 Number Four and he was extracted to the gym for the
- 19 search of that trailer on August 1st, 1997.
- Throughout his testimony there is a
- 21 description of several assaults that he alleges
- 22 occurred to him on that day. I'm not going to place
- 23 upon this record any lengthy quotations. In summary,
- 24 he alleges, one, that his face was slammed up against
- 25 his locker during the strip search before his

- 1 extraction for the trip to the gym, two, that he was
- 2 hit and prodded on his way out of the unit, three,
- 3 that he had beatings by several officers present in
- 4 the West Sally Port and at Center on the way to the
- 5 gym, (two separate instances on that occasion), four,
- 6 that he was beaten in the back when he fell over in
- 7 the gym because he was complaining of back pain at
- 8 that location and, five, that he was beaten on the
- 9 back and on his bed when he was returned to the unit
- 10 and that beating was administered by Officer Adams.
- 11 Although there might be some inherent
- 12 credibility with regard to items one, two and four of
- 13 that litany, due to aggressive behavior by SOG to
- 14 control inmates and punish non-conforming behavior,
- 15 items three and five, namely the beatings at the West
- 16 Sally Port and at Center and the beatings in the unit
- 17 by Officer Adams are both inherently implausible and
- 18 effectively rebutted. As such, those untruths cast
- 19 substantial doubt on the truth of items one, two and
- 20 four as well and eventually produce a failure of
- 21 proof in toto.
- Mr. Richardson has not satisfied his
- 23 burden of proof by a preponderance of the credible
- 24 evidence with regard to any of the alleged beatings
- 25 which he says were inflicted upon him. Among other

- 1 things, there was contrary evidence to that which he
- 2 presented. Officer Adams testified and records
- 3 reveal that he was not assigned to Trailer Number
- 4 Four on any shift on August 1st of '97. Furthermore,
- 5 he never assaulted Mr. Richardson at any time and has
- 6 never been accused of inmate abuse at any time.
- 7 Furthermore, he testified (I find very
- 8 credibly) at page 133 of the transcript of
- 9 September 30th, that housing officers who had to live
- 10 with these inmates on a daily basis, not only during,
- 11 but after the lockdown, would have absolutely no
- 12 incentive to beat inmates and acquire a reputation
- 13 for the beating of inmates. Indeed, it was in their
- 14 interest not to do so because of the fact that,
- 15 unarmed, they were alone with large populations of
- 16 inmates on a daily and hourly basis.
- The description of the extent of the
- 18 assaults that supposedly appeared at the West Sally
- 19 Port and at Center, frankly, is absurd. There is,
- 20 once again, no reason for one to make a determination
- 21 that such conduct would occur in such public
- locations at the very outset of this lockdown with
- 23 supervisory and, indeed, administrative personnel in
- 24 all likelihood in a position to observe them. I find
- 25 that's a fabrication.

- 1 As far as, once again, the question of
- 2 him having been beaten upon his return to the unit,
- 3 Officer DuBois testified that he was among the
- 4 Bayside officers on the search team who would have
- 5 remained in the dayroom upon the return of the
- 6 prisoners to the unit. He saw nothing which
- 7 indicated any assaults either before or after Mr.
- 8 Richardson's return.
- 9 The attitude of Mr. Richardson also has
- 10 an impact on his credibility here. For instance, at
- 11 locations in the transcript such as from page 97
- 12 through 99 and also from pages 74 through 75 he made
- 13 some stubborn assertions about the inaccuracy and
- 14 falsehood of records and reports that frankly are not
- 15 credible and indicate a belligerence or recalcitrance
- 16 here that colors and casts significant doubt upon the
- 17 accuracy and the truth of his testimony. There are
- 18 other instances of that type of testimony appearing
- on pages 99 through 103. And I've already mentioned,
- 20 once again, the absolutely implausible description of
- 21 the events that supposedly took place at Center. It
- 22 really does, indeed, color the accuracy of his entire
- 23 testimony. Let me just read that excerpt at page
- 24 112. This is during his cross-examination. Lines
- 25 four through 20.

- 1 "Question: I just want to draw your
- 2 attention back to the alleged incident in front of
- 3 the Center Unit. While this assault was allegedly
- 4 taking place in front of the Center Unit, is it your
- 5 testimony that no Bayside officers in the Center Unit
- 6 came out and put a stop to this alleged assault?
- 7 "Answer: I didn't see anybody out
- 8 there trying to stop anything.
- 9 "Question: And this assault in front
- 10 of Center took place in daylight hours with, what
- 11 you've testified to, with at least 20 to 25 other
- 12 officers present, correct?
- "Answer: As far as I could tell, yes.
- 14 "Question: And the alleged assault at
- 15 the Sally Port, there were multiple officers involved
- in that as well, correct?
- 17 "Answer: Yes."
- Once again, this is entirely
- 19 implausible given all of the circumstances.
- And so, based upon the inherent
- 21 incredibility of such incidents as those recounted at
- 22 the West Sally Port at Center, the refutation of
- 23 allegations by Officer Adams, indeed, the
- 24 establishment that he wasn't even at Trailer Number
- 25 Four on the day when the assault is attributed to

Page 9 1 him, and Mr. Richardson's stubborn assertions with 2 regard to the falsehood of documents and reports and 3 the like which themselves are without credibility, 4 his testimony as a whole basically is incredible 5 and/or certainly does not rise to the level of establishing the proof of his charges by a 6 7 preponderance of the credible evidence. Finally, although not every item of 8 9 evidence has been discussed in this opinion/report, all evidence presented to the Special Master was 10 11 reviewed and considered. 12 For the reasons set forth above, I 13 recommend in this report that the district court 14 enter an order and judgment of no cause for action 15 with regard to Edwin Richardson. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 10 1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 I, Theresa O. Mastroianni, a Notary Public and Certified Shorthand Reporter of the State of New 4 5 Jersey, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a 6 true and accurate transcript of the testimony as 7 taken stenographically by and before me at the time, 8 place, and on the date hereinbefore set forth. 9 I DO FURTHER CERTIFY that I am neither a 10 relative nor employee nor attorney nor counsel of any 11 of the parties to this action, and that I am neither a relative nor employee of such attorney or counsel, 12 13 and that I am not financially interested in the 14 action. 15 16 17 18 19 Theresa O. Mastroianni, C.S.R. 20 Notary Public, State of New Jersey My Commission Expires May 5, 2010 21 Certificate No. XIO857 Date: November 20, 2009 22 23 24 25

attorney 10-10	certify 10.5 9	9.13	FAUVER 1:9
10:12	,	!	fell 5:6
		I .	finalized 4:13
1			Finally 9:8
1	Civil 1:2 4:14		financially
1	color 7:22	1	10:13
August 4:19 6:4	colors 7:16		find 6:7,24
	1		five 5:8,15
	2:10		foregoing 10:5
	Commission	E 3:2,2 10:1,1	FORMAROLI
I	10:20	Edwin 1:7 4:2	2:19
basically 9:4	complaining 5:7	9:15	forth 4:9 9:12
1	conduct 6:21	effectively 5:18	10:8
1	considered 9:11	either 7:7	four 4:18 5:5,12
8:5	constitute 4:14	employee 10:10	5:20 6:4 7:25
beat 6:12	ľ	10:12	8:25
beaten 5:6,8 7:2	control 5:14	enter 9:14	frankly 6:19
beating 5:10	correct 8:12.16	entire 7:22	7:14
6:13		entirely 8:18	front 8:2,4,9
beatings 5:3,15		ESQUIRE 3:5	FURTHER 10:9
5:16,24	,	3:10,11,12	Furthermore
bed 5:9		ESQUIRES 3:4	6:4,7
behavior 5:13	, -	establishing 9:6	
5:14	1	establishment	G
belligerence	l .	8:24	Gerry 2:9
7:15	I .	et 1:9	given 8:19
BISSELL 1:21	•	events 7:21	going 4:22
4:1	7:24	eventually 5:20	GRIEGEL 3:9
burden 5:23	C.S.R 10:19	evidence 5:24	3:12
		6:1 9:7,9,10	guiding 4:7
	<u> </u>	excerpt 7:23	gym 4:18 5:1,5,7
	daily 6:10,16	Expires 10:20	
	date 10:8,21	extent 4:11 6:17	H
	day 4:22 8:25	extracted 4:18	H 1:9
	daylight 8:10	extraction 5:1	HAMILTON
	dayroom 7:5		3:13
	decision 4:8	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	hereinbefore
	Defendants 1:10		10:8
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3:14		HIGHWAY
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	description 4:21		3:12
' '	6:17 7:20	fact 6:14	hit 5:2
•	determination	facts 4:8	HONORABLE
Certificate	6:20	failure 5:20	1:21
10:21	directives 4:5	falsehood 7:14	Horse 2:21
	1		
Certified 2:6,20 10:4	discussed 9:9	9:2	hourly 6:16
	ATTORNEYS 3:7,14 attributed 8:25 Audubon 2:22 August 4:19 6:4 B back 5:6,7,9 8:2 based 4:9 8:20 basically 9:4 basis 6:10,16 Bayside 1:5 7:4 8:5 beat 6:12 beaten 5:6,8 7:2 beating 5:10 6:13 beatings 5:3,15 5:16,24 bed 5:9 behavior 5:13 5:14 belligerence 7:15 BISSELL 1:21 4:1 burden 5:23 C C 3:2 10:1,1 Camden 2:10 3:6 case 4:2 cast 5:18 casts 7:16 cause 9:14 Center 5:4,16 6:19 7:21 8:3,4 8:5,10,22 certainly 9:5 Certificate	10:12	Charges 4:10 9:6 circumstances 3:7,14 attributed 8:25 Audubon 2:22 August 4:19 6:4 Civil 1:2 4:14 color 7:22 color 7:22 doubt 5:19 7:16 draw 8:1 DuBois 7:3 due 5:13 DuBois 7:3 due 5:13 Edwin 1:7 4:2 p:15 Edwin 1:7 4:2 enter 9:14 enter

II. 2.0		- - 14	1 6.24	1 . 176
House 2:9	L	5:14 Note: 2:8:10:2	position 6:24	remained 7:5
housed 4:17	large 6:15	Notary 2:8 10:3	preponderance	reopening 4:1
housing 6:9	law 4:7	10:20	5:23 9:7	report 4:15 9:13
I	LAZZARO 3:9	November 1:15 10:21	present 5:3 8:12 presented 6:2	Reporter 2:7 10:4
impact 7:10	3:11	number 2:7 4:3	9:10	Reporting 2:20
implausible 5:17	lengthy 4:23	4:18 6:3 8:24	principles 4:7	reports 7:14 9:2
7:20 8:19	level 9:5	4.10 0.3 6.24	Prison 1:5	reputation 6:12
inaccuracy 7:13	license 2:7	О	prisoners 7:6	required 4:15
incentive 6:12	likelihood 6:24	O 2:6 10:3,19	proceedings 2:5	return 7:2,5,8
incident 8:2	LINDSAY 3:4	observe 6:24	4:2	returned 5:9
incidents 8:21	Lines 7:24	occasion 5:5	prodded 5:2	reveal 6:3
incredibility	litany 5:13	occur 6:21	produce 5:20	review 4:13
8:21	Litigation 1:6	occurred 4:22	proof 5:21,23	reviewed 9:11
incredible 9:4	live 6:9	Officer 5:10,17	9:6	Richardson 1:7
indicate 7:15	Local 4:13 location 5:8	6:2 7:3 8:23	public 2:8 6:21	4:2,12,17 5:22
indicated 7:7	I .	officers 5:3 6:9	10:3,20	6:5 7:9 9:15
inflicted 5:25	locations 6:22 7:11	7:4 8:5,12,15	punish 5:14	Richardson's
inherent 5:11	lockdown 6:11	once 6:20 7:1,20	pursuant 4:5	7:8 9:1
8:20	6:22	8:18	put 8:6	rise 9:5
inherently 5:17	locker 4:25	opinion/report	Pav V.V	ROSELLI 3:9
inmate 6:6	LOUGHRY 3:4	1:5 4:4 9:9	Q	3:10
inmates 5:14	3:5	order 4:5,16	question 7:1 8:1	Rule 4:14
6:10,12,13,16	LOZIER 3:9	9:14	8:9,14	
instance 7:10	LUZIER 3.9	outset 6:22	quotations 4:23	S
instances 5:5	M			S 3:2
7:18	MARK 3:10	P	R	Sally 5:4,16 6:18
instructions	MARKET 3:5	P 3:2,2	R 3:2 10:1	8:15,22
4:10	Master 1:6,21	page 6:8 7:11,23	read 7:23	satisfied 5:22
interest 6:14	4:6,16 9:10	pages 7:12,19	really 7:22	saw 7:6
interested 10:13	Master's 4:6	pain 5:7	reason 6:20	says 5:25
involved 8:15	Mastroianni 2:6	paragraph 4:15	reasons 9:12	search 4:19,25
issued 4:4	2:19 10:3,19	parties 10:11	rebutted 5:18	7:4
item 9:8	matter 1:4 2:6	PC 3:9	recalcitrance	see 8:7
items 5:12,15,19	Mejias 4:10	personnel 6:23	7:15	separate 5:5
T	mentioned 7:19	Pike 2:21	recommend	September 6:9
J	multiple 8:15	place 4:22 7:21	9:13	set 4:9 9:12 10:8
JAMES 3:11		8:4,10 10:8	record 4:23	seven 4:15
Jersey 1:2 2:8,10	N	PLAINTIFFS	records 6:2 7:14	shift 6:4
2:22 3:6,13	N 3:2	3:7	recounted 8:21	Shorthand 10:4
10:5,20	neither 10:9,11	Plaza 2:9	Reference 4:5	significant 7:16
JOHN 1:21	never 6:5,6	PM 2:10	4:16	slammed 4:24
JUDGE 4:1	New 1:2 2:8,10	populations	refutation 8:22	SOG 5:13
udgment 9:14	2:22 3:6,13	6:15 P + 5 4 16 6 10	regard 1:4 5:12	South 2:21
ury 4:9,10	10:4,20	Port 5:4,16 6:19	5:24 9:2,15	Special 1:6,21
JUSTIN 3:5	non-conforming	8:15,22	relative 10:10,12	4:6,6,16 9:10
JUSTIN 3.3	non-conforming	8.13,22	Telative 10.10,12	4:6,6,16 9:1

7	\sim

SQUARE 3:13	type 7:18	10:21		
State 1:5 2:8	-5 pc /.10	2009 1:15 10:21		
3:12 10:4,20	U	2010 10:20		TO 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10
States 1:1 2:9	unarmed 6:15	25 8:11		
stenographica	underlie 4:8	251 2:21		
	unit 5:2,9,16 7:2	231 2.21		
10:7	7:6 8:3,4,5	3		
STEVEN 3:12	United 1:1 2:9	3:44 2:10		
stop 8:6,8	untruths 5:18	30th 6:9		
STREET 3:5	und aths 5.16	30X100085700		
strip 4:25	V	2:7		
stubborn 7:13	Videoconfere	33 3:12		
9:1	2:20	330 3:5		
substantial 5:19	vs 1:8	330 3.3		
summary 4:23		5		
supervisory 6:23	W	5 10:20		
supposedly 6:18	W 1:21	52.1 4:14		
7:21	Walker 4:10	CM-I f. I f		
T	want 8:1	6		
	wasn't 8:24	609-586-2257		
T 3:5 10:1,1	way 5:2,4	3:13		
taken 2:6 10:7	West 5:4,15 6:18			
team 7:4	8:22	7		
tell 8:13	White 2:21	74 7:12		
testified 6:2,7	WILLIAM 1:9	75 7:12		
7:3 8:11	written 4:15			
testimony 4:20	WIRLEH 4.13	8		
7:17,18,23 8:5	X	856-546-1100		
9:4 10:6	XIO857 10:21	2:23		
Theresa 2:6 10:3	24.0037 10.21	856-968-9201		
10:19	0	3:6		
things 6:1	08-1291 1:2 4:3			
three 5:2,15	08102 2:10 3:6	9		
THURSDAY	08106 2:22	97 6:4 7:11		
1:15	08690 3:13	99 7:12,19		
time 6:5,6 10:7				
toto 5:21	1			
trailer 4:17,19	1st 4:19 6:4			
6:3 8:24	103 7:19			
transcript 2:5	112 7:24			
4:14 6:8 7:11	12 1:15			
10:6	133 6:8			
trip 5:1	1337 3:12			
true 10:6	1997 4:19			
truth 5:19 7:17				
trying 8:8	2			
two 5:1,5,12,19	20 7:25 8:11			
****** J. 1. J. 1 40. 1 / 1			ı	